# KetteringHEALTH

## Introduction

Manometry is a procedure that examines coordinated muscle movements of the esophageal (EM) or anal (AM) and rectal muscles. EM may be appropriate in patients complaining of heartburn, dysphagia, or regurgitation to help determine causality. Similarly, AM may be appropriate in patients complaining of constipation or fecal incontinence. The use of virtual reality (VR) is largely unexplored in manometry, but similar studies have shown benefit to patient perception and pain scores in pre-surgical patients, or those undergoing colonoscopy or MRI.

## Methods

- Patients scheduled for EM or AM with a local gastroenterologist were the target population of our research.
- Participating patients were randomized via Google's RNG into control group (1) or VR group (2).
- Patients in the VR group observed intraprocedural calming, oceanic imagery. Those in the control group underwent the current standard of care.
- Both groups answered two pre-procedural and five post-procedural questions over the phone.
- Data was analyzed by an online statistical calculator to run an unpaired t-test.





**Figure 1**: Pico G2 4K Headset Figure 2: Calming oceanic imagery seen by patients



## Assessment and Utilization of Virtual Reality (VR) on patient anxiety during Manometry: A Pilot Study

### Results

2.

Characteristics	<b>Control Group</b>	VR Group			
Ν	19	18			
Age	55 ± 14	53 ± 17			
Female Sex	14 (74%)	14 (78%)			
Esophageal Manometry	13 (68%)	9 (50%)			
Anorectal Manometry	6 (32%)	9 (50%)			
Table 1. Detient demographics by grouping					

**Table 1:** Patient demographics by grouping

Data Point	Control	VR	95% CI	p-value
Q1: Pre- Anxiety	6.5	2.5	1.88 - 5.73	0.0003
Q2: Pre- Time	5.1	4.6	-1.72 - 2.71	0.6538
Q3: Post- Time	5.0	3.7	-1.29 - 3.96	0.31
Q4: Post- Unpleasant	6.0	3.9	0.10 - 4.12	0.0403
Q5: Post- Intensity	5.7	4.0	-0.44 - 3.81	0.1164
Q6: Post- Avg.	4.4	2.7	-0.01 - 3.52	0.0514
Q7: Post- Anxiety	4.9	5.9	-2.54 - 2.45	0.968

**Table 2**: Results of numeric rating scale responses by grouping Values reported are based on a scale of 0-10

Blace Haviland, DO<sup>1</sup>; Shashank Sarvepalli, MD<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Love, MFA<sup>2</sup>, Mickey McCabe, PhD, MBA<sup>2</sup>, Elizabeth Beverly, PhD<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Kettering Health Dayton, Dayton, Ohio; <sup>2</sup> Ohio University, Athens, Ohio

- headset.

- the two groups.

## Discussion

- observe.
- insert the manometry catheter.
- of placing a headset on the patient.
- not statistically significant.
- unchanged.

## References

Y, Xie F, Shi W, Yang Y, Yang A, Wu D Jin L, Wang Q, Gao B, Harb AKA, Wang J JJ, Nam E, Lee HS, Lee JK. •[4] Patient Satisfaction Through an Immersive Experience Using a Mobile Phone-Based Head-Mounted Display During Arthroscopic Knee Surgery Under Spinal Anesthesia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Tharion JG, Kale S S, Owyong M, Lin C, James R, Okhunov Z, Patel RM, Kaler KS, Landman J, Clayman R. J Endourol. •[9] Virtual reality for acute pain in outpatient hysteroscopy: a randomised controlled trial. Deo N, Khan KS, Mak J, Allotey J, Gonzalez Carreras FJ, Fusari G, Benn J.

• For various reasons, 10 of the 18 patients (55%) in the VR group did not start/complete the procedure with the use of a

• Four patients in the VR-group reported instances in which the VR-headset lost focus from the image.

Pre-procedural anxiety scores were statistically higher in the control group when compared to those of the VR group.

• No other measured data was statistically significant between

• Our data shows no significant benefit to using calming imagery via VR headsets in manometry, a finding similar studies did not

• There were many concerns from the nursing staff regarding the use of a VR headset in EM due to its impact on the ability to

• Nursing staff also verbalized displeasure with the extra burden

• Average scores were generally lower for the VR group, although

• When comparing control data to patients who were able to use the VR (although for some blurry), the results were the

•[1] Educating Outpatients for Bowel Preparation Before Colonoscopy Using Conventional Methods vs Virtual Reality Videos Plus Conventional Methods: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Chen G, Zhao •[2] Effects of biophilic virtual reality on cognitive function of patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery: study protocol for a sham randomised controlled trial. Zhu B, Zhou Y, Weng Q, Luo W, He X,

•[3] Effects of Preoperative Virtual Reality Magnetic Resonance Imaging on Preoperative Anxiety in Patients Undergoing Arthroscopic Knee Surgery: A Randomized Controlled Study. Yang JH, Ryu

•{5] Pilot Assessment of Immersive Virtual Reality Renal Models as an Educational and Preoperative Planning Tool for Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy. Parkhomenko E, O'Leary M, Safiullah S, Walia

•{6] Treatment efficacy of virtual reality distraction in the reduction of pain and anxiety during cystoscopy. Walker MR, Kallingal GJ, Musser JE, Folen R, Stetz MC, Clark JY. •{7] Virtual reality as an adjunct to anesthesia in the operating room. Faruki A, Nguyen T, Proeschel S, Levy N, Yu J, Ip V, Mueller A, Banner-Goodspeed V, O'Gara B.

•{8] Virtual Reality as Distraction Analgesia for Office-Based Procedures: A Randomized Crossover-Controlled Trial. Gray ML, Goldrich DY, McKee S, Schaberg M, Del Signore A, Govindaraj S, Iloreta