STATE OF THE ART: CLINICAL, LAB AND IMAGING IN THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

HOW DO WE ASSESS AND FOLLOW DISEASE ACTIVITY IN RA?

Aaron B. Heath, DO

Rheumatologist

Carroll Arthritis, Westminster, MD

DISCLOSURES



TREATING RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

- Significant changes in the past decade
- Treat-to-target strategies have improved outcomes
- Clinicians are striving to utilize precise measurements to track disease activity in hopes of achieving the best possible clinical outcome

BEST PRACTICES IN THE TREATMENT OF EARLY RA

Establish the diagnosis of RA early in the course of the disease

- RA is a persistent and progressive disease that can lead to functional decline, disability, deformity, poor quality of life, and shortened life expectancy
- Profile the patient to "stratify" the disease and establish a prognosis
 - Mild, moderate, or severe disease
 - Aggressive/progressive vs indolent disease

BEST PRACTICES IN THE TREATMENT OF EARLY RA

Treat RA early and vigorously

- Define a new target for therapy
 - Use the most optimal therapy first to maintain low or no disease activity "zero tolerance for synovitis"
 - Institute tight disease control with the most effective combination of therapeutic agents
 - Prevent or postpone development of the hallmarks of established disease, disability, and comorbidities that reduce life span

THE PROGRESSION OF RA



Graph: Adapted from Kirwan JR. *J Rheumatol.* 2001;28:881–886. Photo: Copyright © American College of Rheumatology.

OPTIMAL "WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY" FOR TREATING RA

- Radiographic progression occurs early and continues over the lifetime of a patient¹⁻³
- Erosions can be detected by MRI within 4 months of RA onset
- 70% of patients have radiographic damage within the first 3 years after onset of symptoms¹
- The rate of progression is significantly more rapid in the first year than in the second and third years

van der Heijde DM, et al. *J Rheumatol*. 1995;22:1792–1796.
 O'Dell JR. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2002;46:283–285. Editorial.
 Landewe RBM, et al. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2002;46:347–356.

"WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY"

- Therapeutic "window of opportunity" during the first year after the onset of RA, offers the greatest opportunity for achieving optimal short- and longterm outcomes
- Aggressive treatment and tight control (ie, frequent monitoring, and goaloriented changes in treatment strategies) need to be applied during the "window of opportunity"



- Severe functional decline
- Radiographic damage
- Work disability
- Premature death

TREAT TO TARGET STRATEGY Rheumatoid Arthritis

TREAT TO TARGET

- Based on a shared decision between patient and physician
- The primary goal is to maximize long-term health-related quality of life through control of symptoms, prevention of structural damage, normalization of function, and social participation
- Eradication of inflammation is the most important way to achieve these goals
- Measuring disease activity and adjusting therapy accordingly optimizes outcomes

TREAT TO TARGET

- The primary target should be a state of clinical remission.
 - low disease activity is an acceptable alternative goal (particularly in long-standing disease)
- Until target is reached, therapy should be adjusted at least every 3 to 6 months
- Measures of disease activity must be obtained and documented regularly, as frequently as monthly for patients with high/moderate disease activity
 - Use validated composite measures of disease activity
- The desired treatment target should be maintained throughout the remaining course of the disease

ASSESSMENT OF DISEASE ACTIVITY IN RA

How do we determine if we have tight disease control in RA?

- Clinical
- Laboratory
- Imaging

CLINICAL

Physical exam

• Disease activity measures

• Physician and/or patient reported

PHYSICAL EXAM IN RA

- Careful palpation of joints
- Symmetric joint swelling
- Early disease can be asymmetric and should not preclude the diagnosis of RA



American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Clinical Slide Collection 1997

PHYSICAL EXAM IN RA

 Swelling/synovitis - doughy or spongy in RA in contrast to firm knobby enlargement in OA



American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Clinical Slide Collection

PHYSICAL EXAM IN RA

- Pain on passive motion indicating joint inflammation
- Squeezing across the MCPs and MTPs
- Joints may feel warm to the touch



FIGURE 2. Squeeze test: (a) metacarpophalangeal (MCP), (b) metatarsophalangeal (MTP). The squeeze test is a method of identifying subtle inflammation in the absence of obvious swelling or tenderness of individual MCP or MTP joints. If the squeeze causes undue pain it raises the possibility of underlying joint inflammation.

Edwards, J, et al. Reports on the Rheumatic Diseases. Series 7, Autumn 2012, Hands On No 1

DISEASE ACTIVITY MEASURES IN RA

2012 ACR recommendations

- Patient Activity Scale (PAS)
- Patient Activity Scale-II (PAS-II)
- Routine Assessment of Patient Index DATA (RAPID-3)
- Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI)
- Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI)
- Disease Activity Score with 28-joint count (DAS28)

DISEASE ACTIVITY MEASURES IN RA

Patient-reported assessments

• PAS, PAS-II, RAPID-3

Composite physician and patient assessment

• CDAI

Composite measures with laboratory acute-phase reactants
SDAI, DAS28

DISEASE ACTIVITY MEASURES IN RA

- Other measures are often used:
 - Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ): assesses functional measures/limitations
 - Short-Form 36 (SF 36): measures quality of life are often used
- Patient reported measures have limitations, however patients perspective is critical in overall assessment
- Use of these measures varies widely among rheumatologists
 - Physician preference/experience, ease of completion for physician/patient, and need for labs

Patient

We are interested in learning how your liness affects (describes your usual abilities <u>OVER THE PAST WEEK</u>)

Are you able to:

Dress yourself, including shoelaces and buttons? Shampoo your hair?

Stand up from a straight chair? Get in and out of bed?

Cut your meat? Lift a full cup or glass to your mouth? Open a new milk carton?

Walk outdoors on flat ground? Climb up five steps?

Please place an X in the box beside any aids or devices

Cane Crutches Walker Wheelchair
Cevices used for dressing (button hook, zipper pull, ion

Place an X in the box beside any categories for which y

Arising

Dressing and Grooming

Place an X in the box which best describes your usual abilities OVER THE PAST WEEK:

Are you able to:

Wash and dry your body? Take a tub bath? Get on and off the tollet?

Reach and get down a 5 pound object (such as a bag of sugar) from just above your head? Bend down to pick up clothing from the floor?

Open car doors? Open Jars which have been previously opened? Turn faucets on and off?

Run errands and shop? Get in and out of a car? Do chores such as vacuuming or yard work?

Pleace place an X in the box beside any AIDS or DEVICE Bathtub bar Raised tollet seat Jar open Long-handled appliances in bathroom Other (pi

Please place an X in the box beside any categories for v
Hygiene Reach Gripping an

We are also interested in learning whether or not you

How much pain have you had because of your liness your pain on a scale of 0-10.

Considering ALL THE WAYS THAT YOUR ILLNESS AF In the box below that bect describes how you are doin VERY 0

WELL DODOOOOI

ROUTINE ASSESSMENT OF PATIENT INDEX DATA

The RAPID3 includes a subset of core variables found in the Multi-dimensional HAQ (MD-HAQ). Page 1 of the MD-HAQ, shown here, includes an assessment of physical function (section 1), a patient global assessment (PGA) for pain (section 2), and a PGA for global health (section 3). RAPID3 scores are quickly tallied by adding subsets of the MD-HAQ as follows:

1. PLEASE CHECK THE ONE BEST ANSWER FOR YOUR ABILITIES AT THIS TIME:										
OVER THE LAST WEEK, WERE YOU ABLE TO:	WITHOUT ANY DIFFICULTY	WITH SOME DIFFICULTY	WITH MUCH DIFFICULTY	UNABLE TO DO	1-0.3 16					
a. Dress yourself, including tying shoelaces and doing buttons?	0	1	2	3	2=0.7 17 3=1.0 18 4=1.3 19					
b. Get in and out of bed?	0	1	2	3	5=1.7 20-					
c. Lift a full cup or glass to your mouth?	0	1	2	3	7=2.3 22-					
d. Walk outdoors on flat ground?	0	1	2	3	9=3.0 24-					
e. Wash and dry your entire body?	0	1	2	3	10-3.3 25- 11-3.7 26-					
f. Bend down to pick up clothing from the floor?	0	1	2	3	12-4.0 27- 13-4.3 28-					
g. Turn regular faucets on and off?	0	1	2	3	14-4.7 29-					
h. Get in and out of a car, bus, train, or airplane?	0	1	2	3	2 PN (0.10					
i. Walk two miles or three kilometers, if you wish?	0	1	2	3						
j. Participate in recreational activities and sports as you would like, if you wish?	0	1	2	3	3. PTGE (0-					
k. Get a good night's sleep?	0	1.1	2.2	3.3						
I. Deal with feelings of anxiety or being nervous?	0	1.1	2.2	3.3	RAPID3 (0					
m. Deal with feelings of depression or feeling blue?	0	1.1	2.2	3.3						

•	With Much Difficulty (2)	Unable To Do (3)

the box that best describes the severity of

RE DOING on the following scale. Place an X

SEVERE PAIN

VERY

POOR

10

10

our illness

2. HOW MUCH PAIN HAVE YOU HAD BECAUSE OF YOUR CONDITION OVER THE PAST WEEK? Please indicate below how severe your pain has been:

NO	PAIN														PA	IN AS	BAD /	s IT C	COULC) BE
۲	•		•	•	•		•		•			•			•	•			•	•
0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	9.0	9.5	10

3. CONSIDERING ALL THE WAYS IN WHICH ILLNESS AND HEALTH CONDITIONS MAY AFFECT YOU AT THIS TIME, PLEASE INDICATE BELOW HOW YOU ARE DOING:



Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI)

COMPOSITE PI

• CDAI

 28 tender joint co Patient global ass • Physician global

Joint	L	eft	Ri	ght
	Tender	Swollen	Tender	Swollen
Shoulder				
Elbow				
Wrist				
MCP 1				
MCP 2				
MCP 3				
MCP 4				
MCP 5				
PIP 1				
PIP 2				
PIP 3				
PIP 4				
PIP 5				
Knee				
Total	Tender:		Swollen:	



Patient Global Assessment of Disease Activity

Considering all the ways your arthritis affects you, rate how well you are doing on the following scale:

Verv O O O Verv 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 Well 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 8.5 9.0 9.5 10 Poor

Your Name Date of Birth Today's Date

Provider Global Assessment of Disease Activity

00 0000 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0

How to Score the CDAI

Variable	Range	Value
Tender joint score	(0-28)	
Swollen joint score	(0-28)	
Patient global score	(0-10)	
Provider global score	(0-10)	
Add the above values to	(0-76)	
calculate the CDAI score		

CDAI Score Interpretation								
0.0 - 2.8	Remission							
2.9 - 10.0	Low Activity							
10.1 - 22.0	Moderate Activity							
22.1 - 76.0	High Activity							



COMPOSITE MEASU

• SDAI

- 28 tender and swollen joint count
- Patient and physician global assess
- CRP
- Resembles CDAI but adds CRP

Joint Left Right Tender Swollen Tender Swollen Shoulder Elbow Wrist MCP 1 MCP 2 MCP 3 MCP 4 MCP 5 PIP 1 PIP 2 PIP 3 PIP 4 PIP 5 Knee Total Tender: Swollen:

Simple Disease Activity Index (SDAI)



Patier	it G	loba	d As	sess	me	nt o	f Dis	eas	e Ac	tivit	y											
Consid	erin	ig all	the	way:	s you	ır ar	thriti	is aff	fects	you	, rat	e ho	ww	ell yo	u ar	e do	ing c	on th	e fo	llowi	ing s	cale:
Very	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Very

Your Name

Today's Date

Provider Global Assessment of Disease Activity

Very	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Very
Well	0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	9.0	9.5	10	Poor

Date of Birth

How to Score the SDAI

Variable	Range	Value
Tender joint score	(0-28)	
Swollen joint score	(0-28)	
Patient global score	(0-10)	
Provider global score	(0-10)	
C-reactive protein (mg/dL)	(0-10)	
Add the above values to	(0-86)	
calculate the SDAI score		

SDAI Score Interpretation									
0.0 - 3.3	Remission								
3.4 - 11.0	Low Activity								
11.1 - 26.0	Moderate Activity								
26.1 - 86.0	High Activity								

Serum Biomarkers

- Important to know RF/CCP status at baseline
 - Prognostic indicator, can predict more severe/erosive disease
 - Not routinely followed as measure of disease activity
- 14-3-3n (eta) protein
 - Like RF and CCP, prognostic indicator for erosive disease (also erosive PsA)
 - No role in monitoring disease activity

Acute phase reactants (ESR and CRP)

- Varying opinions as to which is best in monitoring inflammatory arthritis
- CRP falls more quickly, normalizing 3-7 days after resolution of tissue injury
- ESR can take weeks to normalize
- Many factors can falsely elevate both, particularly ESR
- CRP changes minimally with age whereas ESR rises

- Multiple Biomarkers of Disease Activity (MBDA)
 - Commercial test (VECTRA-DA)
 - Measure of 12 serum biomarkers (VCAM-1, EGF, VEGF-A, IL-6, TNF-RI, YKL-40, MMP-1, MMP-3, leptin, resistin, SAA, CRP)
 - Algorithm generates a score measuring disease activity from 1-100
 - Marker of disease activity and may predict erosive disease and risk of flare after stopping remittive therapy

VECTRA DA SCORE	LEVEL OF DISEASE ACTIVITY
45-100	HIGH
30-44	MODERATE
1-29	LOW

- Multiple Biomarkers of Disease Activity (MBDA) (VECTRA-DA)
 - Studies suggest correlation with DAS28-CRP as well as other disease activity measures
 - May be an important marker of disease activity in conjunction with physical exam and other biomarkers of disease activity
 - Limitations:
 - ? Discordance between test results and exam findings (high score and lack of clinical synovitis)
 - Cost
- Not a perfect test but ongoing research identifying its strengths and weaknesses

ROLE OF IMAGING IN ASSESSING RA ACTIVITY

- Diagnosing disease
- Assessing disease severity
- Predicting rate of progression
- Monitoring disease progression
- Monitoring treatment response

IMAGING MODALITIES

- X-ray
- MRI
- Ultrasound
- CT

THE USE AND VALUE OF IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES IN RA

	Plain Radiographs	Ultrasound	Power Doppler Ultrasound	MRI	Computed Tomography
Diagnosis	+/++	+++	+	+++	+
Level of Inflammation	+	+++	+++	++	++
Presence and extent of synovitis	+/-	+++	+	+++	+
Presence of tenosynovitis	_	+++	+	+++	+/-
Presence and extent of erosions	+/++	+++	+	+++	++

Hoving JL, et al. *J Rheumatol.* 2004;31:663–675; 2) Backhaus M, et al. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1999;42:1232–1245;
 Wakefiled RJ, et al. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2003;48:285–288; 4) Terslev L, et al. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2003;48:2434–2441;
 Ostergaard M, et al. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheum.* 2005;19:91–116.

X-RAY

- Conventional X-rays can be useful in the diagnosis of RA if erosions or periarticular osteopenia are present
- Little value for detecting synovitis, tenosynovitis, or subtle soft tissue inflammation.
- Primarily detect the later signs of disease activity in patients with RA



Modified Sharp Scores

Erosion scores

- 16 joints in each hand/wrist
- 6 joints in each forefoot
- Scale: 0–5
- Total score: 0–220

Joint space narrowing (JSN) scores

- 15 joints in each hand/wrist
- 5 joints in each forefoot
- Scale: 0-4
- Total score: 0–160

Modified total Sharp score

- Sum of erosion and JSN scores
- Total score: 0–380

Modified Sharp Scoring Method



= Joint space narrowing (JSN)



JSN Scores

- 0 = normal
- 1 = focal or doubtful
- 2 = > 50% of original space remaining
- 3 = < 50% of joint space remaining or subluxation
- 4 = bony ankylosis or luxation





Erosions



Joints evaluated

Erosion Scores

- 0 = normal
- = discrete
- 2–4 = depending on surface area involved
- 5 = complete collapse

MRI AND ULTRASOUND

- Powerful tools for detecting erosive disease, joint and tendon sheath effusions, synovitis, and tenosynovitis.
- Highly sensitive for detecting early inflammatory and destructive changes in joints when X-ray may be normal.
- MRI is particularly sensitive to changes in inflammatory activity over time
 - Value in predicting the future rate of radiographic progression.

RA: Disconnect Between Plain Radiographs and MRI

T1-weighted MRI showing erosions





T1-weighted FS, PG MRI showing erosions containing enhancing synovium

T1-weighted PG MRI showing synovial hypertrophy C





FS = fat suppressed; PG = post-gadolinium. McQueen FM, et al. *Ann Rheum Dis*.1998;57:350–356.

MRI IS SUPERIOR TO X-RAY FOR DETECTING EROSIONS

X-ray: No clear signs of erosions



MRI: Erosion detected





Coronal View

Axial View

Views are of the second to fifth dominant-hand MCP joints. Bird P, et al. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;50:1383–1389.

MRI WITH CONTRAST CAN TRACK DISEASE ACTIVITY IN PATIENTS WITH EARLY RA

Baseline

After 6 months of therapy with a TNF antagonist





Synovitis indicated by green arrows. Tenosynovitis indicated by yellow arrow. Images are axial T1-weighted gadolinium contrast-enhanced MRIs of the second to fifth MCP joints. Ostergaard M, et al. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheum*. 2005;19:91–116.

- A promising tool for the assessment of joint damage and disease activity in patients with RA.
- The sensitivity of PDUS for detecting synovial inflammatory activity is comparable to that of postcontrast MRI
 - May be a more cost effective way of monitoring disease activity
- ACR has issued recommendations for reasonable use of ultrasound in clinical practice
 - Reasonable to use MSKUS to monitor disease activity in RA and other inflammatory arthritides

- Some studies suggest that US, particularly with power doppler (PDUS) has greater sensitivity at detecting synovitis compared with physical exam
 - However US is viewed as a complimentary procedure, not alternative to physical exam
- Significance of subclinical synovitis detected on US still being debated
 - Several studies suggest that synovitis detected in patients in remission may confer up to 12-fold risk of relapse
 - No clear benefit with Ultrasound remission vs clinical remission
 - RA being identified in its earliest phases ("preclinical RA"), US may be important prognostic indicator if subclinical synovitis is detected

• Limitations

- Mostly small studies of US in monitoring disease activity, lack of long-term outcome data
- No clear standard for type and number of joints monitored
- Some disagreement on relevance of synovitis, particularly in early disease and remission
- Highly operator dependent and some variability among interpretation and grading of synovitis
- Variability among quality of US equipment

- A number of protocols have been developed to monitor disease activity as well as to assess for remission
- They vary by joints examined (US7 vs US10 vs 28 joints, etc) and method for detecting and grading synovitis (grey-scale vs power doppler)
- PDUS correlates well with most disease activity measures (DAS28-CRP), clinical assessment of synovitis, laboratory markers of inflammation, MRI, and even histopathology

- Grey scale US (GSUS)
 - Imaging of anatomical structures
 - Visualization of synovial hypertrophy and effusion
- Power Doppler US (PDUS)
 - Detects blood flow
 - Can detect increased microvascular blood flow as seen in active synovitis

ULTRASOUND GRADING OF SYNOVITIS

Table 1 Definitions of severity grades (0–3) for each elementary component and for the EULAR-OMERACT combined score			
Synovitis	SH (greyscale)	Doppler (PD)	Combined score* (greyscale SH + PD)
Grade 0 (normal)	No SH independently of the presence of effusion	No Doppler signal	No SH and no PD signal
Grade 1 (minimal)	Minimal hypoechoic SH* up to the level of the horizontal line connecting bone surfaces between the metacarpal head and the proximal phalanx	Up to three single Doppler spots OR up to one confluent spot and two single spots OR up to two confluent spots	Grade 1 hypoechoic SH and ≤ grade 1 PD signal
Grade 2 (moderate)	Moderate hypoechoic SH [†] extending beyond joint line but with the upper surface concave (curved downwards) or hypertrophy extending beyond the joint line but with the upper surface flat	>Grade 1 but <u><</u> 50% Doppler signals in the total greyscale background	Grade 2 hypoechoic SH and ≤ grade 2 PD signal; or grade 1 SH and a grade 2 PD signal
Grade 3 (severe)	Severe hypoechoic SH [†] with or without effusion extending beyond the joint line but with the upper surface convex (curved upwards)	>Grade 2 (>50% of the total greyscale background)	Grade 3 hypoechoic SH and ≤ grade 3 PD signal; or grade 1 or 2 SH <u>and</u> a grade 3 PD signal





(C and D) US of clinically nonswollen and nontender MCP joint (grade 2 synovitis)

Mandl, et al, Rheumatology 2014;53:21362142

US of

swollen

(PDUS

grade 3

ULTRASOUND OF MCP JOINTS IN THE SAME PATIENT WITH EARLY RA





Erosions indicated by green arrows. Views are of the radial aspect of the second metacarpal head. Ostergaard M, et al. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheum*. 2005;19:91–116.

ULTRASOUND CAN TRACK DISEASE ACTIVITY IN PATIENTS WITH EARLY RA



Baseline – intra-articular hypoechogenic thickening of the synovium, indicating high-grade synovitis

After 6 months of therapy with a TNF antagonist – thickening is less pronounced, indicating mild synovitis



The patient was treated for 6 months with a TNF antagonist; images are of the second MCP joint in the longitudinal plane. Ostergaard M, et al. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheum*. 2005;19:91–116.

- In the hands of an experienced operator US can be an effective tool in monitoring disease activity and assessing for clinical and subclinical synovitis
- Also useful in distinguishing inflammatory from non-inflammatory arthritis when diagnosis is in question (i.e. RA with coexisting fibromyalgia)
- Live test, can quickly and easily examine multiple joints
 - Visualizing joints/inflammation helps to increase patients understanding of there diagnosis which enables them to participate more fully in their treatment plan

ASSESSMENT OF DISEASE ACTIVITY IN RA

- Currently we rely on composite measures of patient and physicians global assessment, and assessment of tender/swollen joints
- Laboratory testing (acute phase reactants and MBDA) are often used in conjunction
- Imaging, particularly musculoskeletal ultrasound is becoming more widely utilized to monitor disease activity
- Continued need for better and more reliable biomarkers to predict the onset of RA, make the diagnosis at an earlier stage, and determine those patients at highest risk for rapidly progressive and erosive disease
- Need for biomarkers that will help predict who will respond to certain drugs

ASSESSMENT OF DISEASE ACTIVITY IN RA

- A treat-to-target approach in the management of RA leads to better outcomes
- Important to define a treatment target up front
- Collecting data to assess and monitor disease activity is essential to this strategy
- Additionally moving forward physicians will be obligated to track such outcome Measures

REFERENCES

- Singh J, et al. 2015 America College of Rheumatology Guidelines for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care and Research. 2015;DOI 10.1002/acr.22783
- Anderson J, et al. Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease Activity Measures: American College of Rheumatology Recommendations for Use in Clinical Practice. Arthritis Care & Research. 2012; 64(5):640–647. DOI 10.1002/acr.21649
- Smolen JS, Breedveld FC, Burmester GR, et al. Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: 2014 update of the recommendations of an international task force. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2015; DOI:10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-207524.
- Mandl P, et al. Contributions of ultrasound beyond clinical data in assessing inflammatory disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis: current insights and future prospects. *Rheumatology* 2014;53:2136-2142
- Terslev L, et al. Scoring ultrasound synovitis in rheumatoid arthritis: a EULAR-OMERACT ultrasound taskforce-Part 2: reliability and application to multiple joints of a standardised consensus-based scoring system. RMD Open 2017;3:e000427.doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2016-000427
- Bhasin S, *et al.* The role of power doppler ultrasonography as disease activity marker in rheumatoid arthritis. *Disease Markers* 2015; Volume 2015, Article ID 325909
- Hirata S, Dirven L, Shen Y, et al. A multi-biomarker score measures rheumatoid arthritis disease activity in the BeSt study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2013;52(7):1202-1207.
- http://www.consultant360.com/exclusives/rheumatoid-arthritis-biomarkers-what-are-clinicians-overlooking-0